

Sliding-mode controller for a three level DC-DC Boost converter

Miss. Deeksha M .U
Department of ECE,
4th Semester, Mtech
JSSSTU, Mysuru
Deekshamu123@gmail.com

Mr. V Nattarasu
Department of ECE,
Associate Professor
JSSSTU, Mysuru
nattarasu@gmail.com

Abstract- Renewable energy systems have been using to provide voltage to the Boost Converter which has to be boosted up before being inverted and connected to the grid. The controller technique should provide good voltage regulation and suitable for the Boost DC-DC conversion purposes. There are many controller techniques are proposed for MBC. This paper provides Reduce order model for a three level Boost Converter and focused on SMC without integrator, with integrator and double integrator, Results have been analyzed.

Keywords- Multilevel boost converter (MBC), Sliding mode controller (SMC).

I. INTRODUCTION

Today, fossil fuels are being used globally. Where, these fuels causes the greenhouse gas effect and environment pollution, which are opposed by the concept of sustainable development. In order to reduce the utilization of fossil energy, the renewable energy generation systems are being used in industries, mainly in electrical generation system (REGSs). Unfortunately, these REGSs generate low level DC output voltage which is not sufficient for connecting to the power grid. In order to meet the high bus voltage demand, the dc-dc boost converter was developed in to the REGSs. Conventional boost converters only give a restricted voltage gain because of parasitic components and it has stability issue if it works in the high duty ratio. To overcome this problem many high output voltage gain dc-dc boost converters are proposed but they are complex in structure. Besides, design of controller for these converters is complex so multilevel dc-dc boost converters are introduced which are simple in structure and number of levels can be extendable as needed. They are capable of providing high voltage gain with small duty ratio. DC-DC converters are nonlinear systems, which convert sources of direct current from one voltage level to another voltage level, with the properties of nonlinearity, variable structure and non-minimum system of the boost converter, designing the controller for such converter is challenging task. There

are various control techniques such as, PWM controller, PID controller, PI controller, sliding mode controller, Fuzzy artificial neural network (ANN).

The controller requires a control technique that should maintain the stability against variations in input voltage and load. Sliding mode control is an effective control technique for a DC-DC converter; it can provide robustness and stability against large signal disturbances and circuit component uncertainties. SMC technique is composed of two modes one is reaching mode and another one is sliding mode. In this paper a sliding mode controller for a three level DC-DC boost converter is proposed. The sliding surface is formed using the inductor current error and the integral of the output voltage error. The main advantage of sliding mode controller is easy to implement as compared to the other non linear control methods, because of this property it is more suitable for the industrial applications in non linear system.

II. BACKGROUND

In this section, we briefly discuss the works which is similar to our approach.

Wentao Jiang, chok You Chan.[1] have introduced Hysteresis based sliding mode control to regulate DC-DC converter which provides robustness and stability against large scale perturbation and circuit component variations. Developed suitable reduced order state space model for the converter shows the validity and feasibility of controller under various operating conditions.

Julio C, Rosas-Caro, Juan M. Ramirez, Pedro Martin- Garcia-vite.[2] have developed a DC-DC multilevel boost converter using one inductor, one switch, $2N-1$ capacitors and $2N-1$ diodes for $N+1$ levels . Proposed converter to be used as DC link in applications which can able to maintain the same voltage in all the output levels and control the input current.

Lokesh Bulla, V Nattarasu.[6] have done comparative study of different control techniques for multilevel DC-DC boost converter. Results shows that performance of PID controller is best comparing to others such as Proportional integral (PI) controller and sliding mode controller.

Page 2

Every capacitor output voltage is considered because the circuit output voltage is divided by the number of capacitors at the output. By applying voltage balancing feature to the boost converter

$$V_a = V_b = V_c = \frac{V_{out}}{3} \quad (1)$$

From the circuit shown in Fig.1 and equation 1, the dynamics for inductor current and output voltage can given as,

$$L \frac{di}{dt} = E, \quad C_{equ1} \frac{dv}{dt} = -\left(\frac{3}{R}\right) * V_{out} \quad (2)$$

During switch is open

$$L \frac{di}{dt} = -\frac{V_o}{3} + E, \quad C_{equ2} \frac{dv}{dt} = i - \left(\frac{3}{R}\right) * V_{out} \quad (3)$$

By combining the above equations,

$$L \frac{di}{dt} = -(1 - U_{avg}) \left(\frac{V_{out}}{3}\right) + E, [C_{equ1} U + (1 - U_{avg}) C_{equ2}] \frac{dv}{dt} (1 - U_{avg}) i - \left(\frac{3}{R}\right) * V_{out} \quad (4)$$

Where U_{avg} denotes the average input, it is actually the duty cycle of the switch.

By choosing inductor current and output voltage as the state variables, equation 4 can be expressed in state space form as given below.

$$\frac{d}{dx} [i \ V]^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{3L} \\ \frac{1}{C(t)} & -\frac{3}{R C(t)} \end{bmatrix} [i \ V]^T + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{V}{3L} \\ \frac{i}{C(t)} \end{bmatrix} [U_{avg}] + \begin{bmatrix} E \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

IV. PROPOSED SLIDING MODE CONTROLLER

The main control objective in power converter is to track the given constant reference voltage V_{ref} by forcing V_{out} .

$$\begin{aligned} V_{out} &= V_{ref} \\ U_{ss} &= 1 - \frac{2V_{in}}{V_{ref}} \\ I_L &= I_{Lref} - \frac{V_{ref}^2}{V_{in} R_0} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Designing of sliding mode control requires two stages :

- 1) Choose a sliding surface that gives asymptotically the desired dynamic in sliding regime and
 - 2) Designing the control law to achieve this surface.
- Designing the SM requires to describe the system by state

space equations.

$$\dot{y} = Ax_1 + Bx_2 + D$$

Where

$$y = [i_L, V_{out}]^T$$

The matrices A, B and D are given as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{3L} \\ \frac{1}{C_e} & -\frac{3}{R_0 C_e} \end{pmatrix} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{V_{out}}{3L} \\ -\frac{i_L}{C_e} \end{pmatrix} \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{V_{in}}{L} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

After obtaining the state space matrix, the further step is to design the controller. For switched converters it is suitable to have a control law adopts a switching function such as

$$x_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{where } s > 0 \\ 0 & \text{where } s < 0 \end{cases}$$

Where s is the instantaneous state variable's trajectory and it is given as,

$$S = a_1 y_1 + a_2 y_2 + a y_3 = f^T Y$$

With $f^T = [a_1 + a_2 + a_3]$

Where a_1 , a_2 and a_3 are the control parameters termed as sliding coefficients in sliding mode control. By forcing $S=0$, sliding surface can be obtained. Finally, the mapping of the equivalent control functions onto the duty ratio d .

$$0 < d = \frac{V_c}{V_{ramp}} < 1$$

Following relationship are obtained for the control signal V_c and ramp signal V_{ramp} , where

$$\begin{aligned} V_c &= U_{equ} = -\beta L \left[\left(\frac{a_1}{a_2} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{R_1 C} \right) \right] i_c + LC \left(\frac{a_3}{a_2} \right) (V_{ref} - \beta) + \beta (V_{out} - V_{in}) \\ V_c &= kp_1 i_c + kp_2 (V_{ref} - \beta V_{out}) + \beta (V_{out} - V_i) \\ kp_1 &= \left(\frac{a_1}{a_2} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{R_1 C} \right) \quad \& \quad kp_2 = LC \left(\frac{a_3}{a_2} \right) \\ V_{ramp} &= \beta (V_{out} - V_{in}) \end{aligned}$$

V. IMPLEMENTATION AND RESULTS

In this section the implementation and performance of the three level boost converter without controller and with controller are discussed. Simulations were done by using Matlab\simulink software. Chosen parameter values are $L=180\mu H$, $C=150\mu F$.

The design specifications considered in this project are as follows

- Input voltage = 40V
- Output voltage = 300V
- Output power = 600W

- Output current = 2A
- Switching frequency = 50 kHz

A. Simulation block and waveforms of TLBC without controller:

Fig.4 shows the simulink block of three level boost converter. Waveforms of TLBC without controller are shown in Fig.5.




Fig.4. Schematic of open loop power circuit simulation in Simulink




Fig.5. Output voltage and current waveforms of the converter without controller

In the wave form shown in Fig.5 rise time of TLBC waveform is fast i.e 1ms, but settling time is more i.e 20ms and steady state error is more compare to with controller.

B. Simulation block and waveforms of TLBC with Sliding Mode controller (without integrator)

Simulink block of SMC (without integrator) is shown in Fig.6. The voltage and current waveforms of TLBC with SM controller are shown in Fig.7. SMC is designed by choosing surface constant, $kp_1 = 3$ and $kp_2 = 30$




Fig.6. Schematic of the SM controller (without integrator) block for power circuit




Fig.7. Output voltage and current waveforms of the converter with SM controller (without integrator)

Compare to Output wave forms of converter without controller the overshoot and settling time is less in output wave forms of converter with Sliding Mode controller (without integrator) as shown in Fig.7.

C. Simulation block and waveforms of TLBC with Sliding Mode controller (with single integrator)

Simulink block of SMC (with single integrator) is shown in Fig.8. The corresponding voltage and current waveforms are shown in Fig.9.




Fig.8. Schematic of the SM controller (with integrator) block for power circuit




Fig.9. Output voltage and current waveforms of the converter with SM controller (with integrator)

In Fig.9 overshoot is less in output wave forms of converter with Sliding Mode controller (with integrator). But compare to without controller and with Sliding Mode controller (without integrator) raising time and settling time in Sliding mode controller (with integrator) is more.

D. Simulation block and waveforms of TLBC with Sliding Mode controller (with double integrator)

Simulink block of SMC (with double integrator) is shown in Fig.10. The corresponding voltage and current waveforms are shown in Fig.11.




Fig.10. Schematic of the SM controller (with double integrator) block for power circuit




Fig.11 Output voltage and current waveforms of the converter with SM controller (with double integrator)

Comparison of Controllers	Measured parameters				
	Settling time in ms	Rise time in ms	Peak time in ms	Overshoot in percentage	Steady state error in volts
Without controller	20	1	3	73%	7
With SMC(without integrator)	16	1.1	2	43%	7
With SMC(with single integrator)	19	10	15	2.7%	6.5
With SMC(with double integrator)	120	30	125	0.94%	7

The main advantage of this controller is very less overshoot. From the Table.1 we can observe that overshoot is 0.94%, but settling time is very slow i.e 120ms. Rise time is more compare to other converters i.e 30ms and peak time is 125ms

Table1. Comparison table

VI. CONCLUSION

In present work sliding mode controller (SMC) without integrator, sliding mode controller (SMC) with integrator and sliding mode controller (SMC) with double integrator is designed for three level DC-DC boost converter. Converter modeling and converter design has been discussed. Simulations were carried out using Matlab\Simulink software. The robustness of sliding mode controller is tested and results are analyzed, the sliding mode controller with double integral is the additional integral term, which provides the less steady state error but settling time is more compare to other converters.

ACKNOWLEDEMENT

We hereby would like to thank the Principal of our college and the HOD of the department for all their support in this research work. We would also like to thank the authors of research papers we have referred for their wonderful work. Last but not the least we would like to thank our colleagues, professors and friends for their guidance.

REFERENCES

1. Wentao Jiang and Chok You Chan, "A sliding-mode controller for a multilevel DC-DC boost converter," *IECON 2016 - 42nd Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society*, Florence, 2016, pp. 1239-1244.

2. J. C. Rosas-Caro, J. M. Ramirez and P. M. Garcia-Vite, "Novel DC-DC Multilevel Boost Converter," *2008 IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference*, Rhodes, 2008, pp. 2146-2151.
3. J. C. Mayo-Maldonado, R. Salas-Cabrera, J. C. Rosas-Caro, J. De Leon-Morales and E. N. Salas-Cabrera, "Modeling and control of a DC-DC multilevel boost converter," in *IET Power Electronics*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 693-700, July 2011.
4. Swarada S. Muley and Ravindra M. Nagarale "Pwm-based sliding mode control of dc-dc boost converter" *International Journal of Advances in Engineering & Technology*, Vol. 6, Issue 5, Nov. 2013, pp. 2171-2178.
5. S. Tan, Y. M. Lai and C. K. Tse, "Indirect Sliding Mode Control of Power Converters Via Double Integral Sliding Surface," in *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 600-611, March 2008.
6. Lokesh Bulla and V Nattarasu "Comparison of different control techniques for three level DC-DC boost converter", *International research journal of engineering and technology (IRJET) (ISSN No. 2395 -0072)*, Vol. 3, Issue 7, July 2016, pp.01-04.
7. M. Mousa, M. Ahmed and M. Orabi, "A switched inductor multilevel boost converter," *2010 IEEE International Conference on Power and Energy*, Kuala Lumpur, 2010, pp. 819-823.
8. D.Seshachalam, R. K. Tripathi and D. Chandra, "Practical Implementation of Sliding Mode Control for Boost Converter," *APCCAS 2006 - 2006 IEEE Asia Pacific Conference on Circuits and Systems*, Singapore, 2006, pp. 650-653.
9. Pandav Kiran Maroti, B. Sri Revathi, "A Multilevel Boost Converter Using Switched Inductor" *International Journal of Research in Electrical & Electronics Engineering (IASTER)*, Vol 1, Issue 2, October-December, 2013, October-December, 2013, pp. 01-07.
10. Omar Abdel-Rahim, Mohamed Orabi, Emad Abdelkarim, Mahrous Ahmed* and Mohamed Z. Youssef, "Switched Inductor Boost Converter for PV Applications" orabi@ieee.org
11. Rajendra Meena, "Simulation Study of Boost Converter with Various Control Techniques" *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, Volume 3 Issue 9, September 2014
12. Miaosen Shen, Fang Zheng Peng and Leon M. Tolbert, "Multilevel DC-DC Power Conversion System With Multiple DC Sources", *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, Vol.23, No.1, pp.420-426, January 2008
13. J.C. Rosas-Caro, J.M. Ramirez, F.Z. Peng and A. Valderrabano, "A DC-DC multilevel Boost converter", *IET Power Electronics*, Vol.3, No.1, pp.129-137, 2010.
14. Puneeth.K.M and V.Nattarasu, "Modeling and Analysis of Three Level DCDC Boost Converter for High Gain Applications", *IJESIT* Volume 3, Issue 3, May 2014.
15. J.C. Mayo-Maldonado, R. Salas-Cabrera, J.C. Rosas-Caro, J. De Leon-Morales and E.N. Salas-Cabrera, "Modeling and control of a DC-DC multilevel Boost converter", *IET Power Electronics*, Vol.4, No.6, pp.693-700, 2011.
16. Julio Cesar Rosas-Caro, Pedro Martin Garcia-Vite, Jose Merced Lozano-Garcia, Aaron "DC-DC Multiplier Converter Topology", *Letter, IEICE Electronics Express*, Vol 9, No.19, pp 1522-1527, 2011





